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Introduction

In children under 5 years of age in low-income countries, diarrheal disease is the second leading cause of death, behind pneumonia, and is responsible for approximately 10.5 percent of child deaths annually (Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group 2012). Diarrhea can cause acute wasting and is the most important infectious determinant of stunting of children’s linear growth (Black et al. 2013). Food is among the most important factors in transmitting pathogens that cause diarrheal illness (Motarjemi et al. 2012) and an estimated 70 percent of diarrheal episodes among young children could be due to pathogens transmitted through food (Motarjemi et al. 1993; Esrey and Feachem 1989).

In developing countries, health statistics for many foodborne diseases are often recorded as “diarrheal diseases” because the specific pathogen is almost never identified. “Diarrheal diseases” is also carried over in WHO statistics. For this reason, the exact proportion of diarrhea caused by contaminated food remains unclear, however it is estimated that foodborne and waterborne diarrheal disease kills an estimated 2 million people annually, according to analysis from the World Health Organization (WHO 2015). There is significant evidence that by implementing proper food hygiene practices, particularly once food reaches the household, the incidence of diarrhea can be reduced anywhere from 15 to 70 percent through proper food storage, cooking temperatures, and safe food handling and preparation. Food hygiene interventions may result in improved knowledge regarding the relationship between food hygiene practices and diarrheal illness, improved practice of key prioritized food hygiene behaviors, decreased levels of contamination of prepared food (including complementary food for children), and decreased prevalence of diarrheal disease.

This technical note presents information on foodborne disease and key areas and considerations at the household level to reduce foodborne contaminants in developing countries. Recommendations on potential programmatic and research activities related to foodborne disease are also included. The food hygiene topics that are beyond the scope of this brief include food contamination with agrochemicals, such as pesticides; industrial chemicals, such as heavy metals; and naturally occurring toxins, such as mycotoxins.
Foodborne Pathogens and Basic Modes of Transmission

While a wide range of pathogens can cause foodborne diseases, viruses, bacteria, and parasites pose the greatest share of preventable foodborne threats (Fischer Walker et al. 2010). For parasites and viruses, food serves as a vehicle for transmission to a new host, while for bacteria, food offers an opportunity to grow exponentially to infectious levels. Once a person is exposed to the pathogen, the time to onset of disease symptoms varies, ranging from a few hours to possibly weeks. Symptoms are also highly variable, ranging from mild and self-limiting to permanently disabling and fatal (WHO 1984). More than 120 important viral, bacterial, and parasitic agents transmitted by food have been identified (Motarjemi et al. 2014). Thirty-two of these are significant public health problems and more than half cause diarrhea, either alone or in combination with other adverse symptoms.

While some pathogens can survive in the environment, the main reservoirs for these agents are domestic animals, household pests such as rats and mice, and humans. Pathogens can be present on the surface of food due to direct or indirect contact from an animal, pest, or human, or in the case of many parasites and certain bacteria, such as *Salmonella Enteriditis* in eggs, the pathogen is present already within the food.

Many pathogens known to cause diarrhea are spread by the fecal-oral route. The primary routes of transmission of these pathogens include through contaminated (1) fluids, such as water contaminated with feces; (2) fingers, such as hands contaminated from one’s own feces or those of another household member, such as an infant (3) flies, which may carry feces and contaminate food or other surfaces; and (4) fields/floors, from rainwater or irrigation water that is contaminated with feces, or household floors that are contaminated with fecal material that then spreads the feces via the routes mentioned above (fluids, fingers, and/or flies). All of these routes of transmission can cause illness and diarrhea directly in an individual, but can also do so via food contaminated by feces in fluids, on fingers, and/or from flies. Keeping food free of fecal contamination is one of the key ways to prevent the transmission of disease (Curtis et al. 2011).

Figure 1 illustrates the routes of transmission of feces, in which food plays a major role. The figure also shows the estimated percentage of reduction in diarrhea that occurs from consistent and correct practices to reduce diarrheal disease transmission, including proper food hygiene. Incidence of diarrhea can be reduced by 37 percent through sanitation practices such as appropriate disposal of feces; reduced by 35 to 44 percent through safe water practices such as boiling water or treating water with chlorine or other appropriate agents; reduced by 33 percent through handwashing; and reduced by 15 to 70 percent through food hygiene practices such as thorough cooking of food and storage at the appropriate temperature.

Water is also a critical component of food hygiene. Once food enters the household, water plays a significant role at multiple points in food preparation, such as for cleaning food, washing utensils, cooking, and handwashing. At the point of consumption, it can be challenging to tease out the extent to which food alone contributes to disease transmission, exclusive of water/ fluids. Therefore, many research studies that evaluate household food hygiene include water as a component of food. Past efforts in developing countries to reduce diarrheal disease through the provision of safe water and sanitation services have been met with mixed results in part due to the lack of appropriate hygiene education, including food hygiene (Zwane and Kremer 2007).
Figure 1. Pathways of Transmission of Fecal Pathogens and Reductions in Diarrhea from Improved Practices
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Food hygiene can reduce transmission by 15–70%

Adapted from Wagner and Lanoix 1958

Vulnerable Populations

While everyone is potentially susceptible to foodborne disease, certain vulnerable groups are often at greater risk of contracting a foodborne disease and/or suffering more severe consequences from the disease, including death. The main vulnerable groups include:

- **Infants and young children.** Their immune systems are still developing and the protection afforded by the gut flora is not as effective as in adults.

- **Pregnant women.** Hormonal changes during pregnancy affect a mother’s immune system, resulting in decreased immune function and greater susceptibility to foodborne disease. Also, the developing fetus is susceptible to foodborne pathogens that may not cause illness in the pregnant women.

- **The immune-compromised.** People with weakened immune systems are prone to acquire foodborne disease. Particularly susceptible are those with chronic illness (such as HIV and tuberculosis).

- **The elderly.** Older people are more susceptible to foodborne disease because natural defenses and ability to fight disease decrease in old age.
Key Issues and Critical Actions in Household Food Hygiene

Once food enters the home, there are a series of steps that individuals take in preparing it prior to consumption, including cleaning, cooking, serving, and in some cases, storing. Based on the findings from a literature review by FANTA (Woldt and Moy 2015), the most critical household food hygiene actions to avoid introducing the potential for foodborne illness in developing country settings include:

- Cooking at adequate temperature and time
- Decreasing the time food is stored at ambient temperature
- Reheating at adequate temperature and time
- Adequate handwashing to avoid contamination
- Use of clean utensils to avoid contamination
- Storage of food at sufficiently low or high temperatures to prevent bacterial multiplication

Critical gaps remain in the literature regarding proven methods to prevent foodborne illness through improved food hygiene practices. Little rigorous research using appropriate study methodology and demonstrating statistical significance exists from which to draw conclusions. Even for those studies that looked at effectively modified food hygiene behaviors, the evidence is still lacking around the sustainability of the adopted practices due to short study duration and follow-up. Also, more formative research is needed to identify why individuals do or do not practice specific food hygiene behaviors in various settings, including consideration of cultural norms and beliefs. Lack of context-specific formative research in food hygiene directly impacts the ability to design and implement effective programs using consistent methods, particularly appropriate social and behavior change messaging and mediums. Rapid assessment tools to identify key problems and critical actions for intervention are also lacking.
A literature reviewed identified critical actions to reduce the risk of foodborne illness at the household level, including cooking and reheating at adequate temperature and time, decreasing the time food is stored at ambient temperature, adequate handwashing, use of clean utensils, and storage of food at sufficiently low or high temperatures.

Programmatic Recommendations

Given the evidence that food is a common medium for transmission of diarrheal disease and that unsafe food directly contributes to high rates of morbidity and mortality in many developing countries, relevant policies and programs should consider inclusion of food hygiene components. In light of USAID’s Multi-Sectoral Nutrition Strategy 2014–2025, which advocates for stronger coordination and collaboration across programs and activities, food hygiene is a cross-cutting theme that should be integrated across sectors. By strengthening the coordination and collaboration of programs, specifically water, sanitation, and hygiene programs and other nutrition, health, and agriculture programs, greater reductions in morbidity and mortality can be realized. The following are specific recommendations for donors, partners, and programs.

Recommendations for Immediate Implementation

- **Put into practice what is already known about food hygiene.** Key actions that can be put into practice within existing programs to reduce household-level foodborne illness in developing countries include adequate initial cooking and reheating of food, decreasing the time food is stored at ambient temperature, adequate handwashing, and use of clean utensils to avoid contamination. SBCC messages and materials can be developed around these key actions, which can be integrated immediately into clinic- and community-level counseling for vulnerable populations, including pregnant women, young children, people living with HIV, and those with tuberculosis.

- **Use quality improvement approaches and operations research to build upon what is known in food hygiene and fill programming gaps.** Quality improvement approaches, such as plan, do, study, act (PDSA) cycles, mentoring, and coaching, can be used to ensure that food hygiene is integrated into clinic- and community-level programs, and that food hygiene programming is of high quality. Quality improvement approaches and/or operations research can also be used within programs to identify programming gaps in food hygiene and ways to strengthen program outcomes and
improve food hygiene practices. Develop a social and behavior change strategy to improve food hygiene practices with an appropriate mix of channels and messages, and test and modify as necessary training materials, messages, and job aids. WHO’s manual, Five Keys to Safer Food, already includes messages and materials that can be adapted for different country contexts.

- **Assess and evaluate SBCC strategies and adjust as needed to improve impact.** Evaluate the impact of food hygiene-related social and behavior change messaging using proven methodologies, such as theoretical behavior change models and/or theories of change. More rigorous studies assessing messaging and its effect on behaviors would be useful to ensure interventions consider the various factors that influence behaviors and behavior change, including not only knowledge and beliefs but also skills and access to needed materials to employ new behaviors and social norms that influence the practice of those behaviors. These studies can form part of operations research within programs, as noted above.

- **Develop and test indicators to assess the outcomes and impact of food hygiene interventions.** There is a lack of standardized, validated indicators for measuring outcomes and impact of food hygiene activities. More research is needed in the development of practical, valid, standardized program indicators for food hygiene interventions that can be contextualized, as needed, to local situations.

- **Integrate appropriate handwashing and safe disposal of feces into programs.** Since the fecal-oral route of contamination from hands is such a large contributor to diarrheal disease, programs should include greater emphasis on the importance of handwashing, along with the use of soap, and appropriate disposal of human and animal feces. These actions will have a positive impact on food hygiene interventions. While handwashing with water can have a significant effect, handwashing with soap and water is more effective than water
alone (Burton et al. 2011). Although soap can be a costly commodity for many economically constrained households, there is an opportunity to increase soap distribution through public-private partnerships. Ash is also an acceptable substitute for soap.

- **Target food hygiene interventions for vulnerable populations.** To be most effective, food hygiene messaging and behavior change interventions should be targeted to vulnerable populations. Programs addressing infectious diseases, such as HIV or tuberculosis, should consider integrating food hygiene interventions. These populations are at greater risk of infection from foodborne pathogens and have greater risk of mortality if exposed. Clinic- and community-level programs that serve pregnant women and mothers/caregivers of young children should also integrate food hygiene messaging and behavior change around food hygiene.

- **Support research on food hygiene.** Given the lack of sound research studies and program evaluations of household food hygiene interventions, more studies and/or program evaluations are needed to strengthen the evidence base. Such studies need to have strong methods, including, for example, use of the HACCP approach to identify and test critical control points, sufficiently long follow-up of households and individuals, rigorous intervention design and results reporting, and use of health models to provide greater theoretical basis for studies. Furthermore, research assessing the causal link between specific hygiene practices and diarrheal incidence, and its subsequent impact on nutritional status is critical to inform future interventions.

- **Widely disseminate results, lessons learned, and promising practices from food hygiene research and interventions.** Given the lack of information on food hygiene research and interventions in developing countries, it is important that results and lessons learned from high-quality research and program interventions be shared to foster learning and knowledge development (Curtis et al. 2011). Of particular priority are the results of trials of food hygiene interventions; cost effectiveness of various mixes of channels, intensities of messages and activity delivery, and types of change agents; and innovative technologies for practicing good food hygiene.

- **Support the WHO initiative to estimate the global burden of foodborne diseases.** National and international donors should continue to support the WHO initiative to estimate the global burden of foodborne diseases. Funding is particularly needed to support studies that determine foodborne disease burden estimates among vulnerable populations, such as children 6–23 months of age, pregnant women, people living with HIV, and those with tuberculosis. Reliable epidemiological data are urgently needed to enable policymakers and other stakeholders to appropriately allocate resources to undertake foodborne disease prevention and control efforts and to monitor and evaluate those efforts.
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