USAID's Office of Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators

Updated on April 13, 2015

Key:				all development pr		rojects that have re	levant interv	entions. The relev	ant intervention	n has been s	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	Project		ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable			Who collects?	Frequency of collection?	Indicator	Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
	Reduced Food Insecurity in Vulnerable Populations	3.1.9	16	Prevalence of underweight children under five years of age	R	All projects	FTF and F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	impact	a. Percent of children 0-59 months of age that is underweight b. Total estimated population of children 0-59 months of age in the FFP project implementation area c. Percent of male children 0-59 months of age that is underweight d. Total estimated population of male children 0-59 months of age in the FFP project implementation area e. Percent of female children 0-59 months of age that is underweight f. Total estimated population of female children 0-59 months of age in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
	Reduced Food Insecurity in Vulnerable Populations	4	17	Prevalence of Poverty: Percent of people living on less than \$1.25/day	R	All projects	FTF and F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	impact	a. Percentage of people living on <\$1.25/day b. Total estimated population in the FFP project implementation area c. Percentage of people in FNM households living on <\$1.25/day d. Total estimated population of FNM households in the FFP project implementation area e. Percentage of people in MNF households living on <\$1.25/day f. Total estimated population of MNF households in the FFP project implementation area g. Percentage of people in M&F households living on <\$1.25/day h. Total estimated population of M&F households in the FFP project implementation area i. Percentage of people in CNA households living on <\$1.25/day j. Total estimated population of in CNA households in the FFP project implementation area	Gendered Household Type: Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA)

Key:	Indicators classified		•		-							
	Project	SPS Location	ID number		R: Required		Source	who collects?		Indicator Type	Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
	Reduced Food Insecurity in Vulnerable Populations		TBD8	Depth of Poverty: The mean percent shortfall relative to the \$1.25 poverty line	R	All projects	FTF	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	impact	a. Depth of Poverty b. Total estimated population in the FFP project implementation area c. Depth of Poverty in FNM households d. Total estimated population of FNM households in the FFP project implementation area e. Depth of Poverty in MNF households f. Total estimated population of MNF households in the FFP project implementation area g. Depth of Poverty in M&F households h. Total estimated population of M&F households in the FFP project implementation area i. Depth of Poverty in CNA households j. Total estimated population of CNA households in the FFP project implementation area	Gendered Household Type: Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child no Adults (CNA)
	Inclusive Agricultural Sector Growth	4.5		Daily per capita expenditures (as a proxy for income) in USG-assisted areas	R	All projects	FTF and F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Average daily per capita expenditures (in 2010 USD) in FFP project implementation area b. Total estimated population in the FFP project implementation area c. Average daily per capita expenditures (in 2010 USD) of FNM households d. Total estimated population of FNM households in the FFP project implementation area e. Average daily per capita expenditures (in 2010 USD) MNF households f. Total estimated population of MNF households in the FFP project implementation area g. Average daily per capita expenditures (in 2010 USD) in M&F households h. Total estimated population of M&F households in the FFP project implementation area i. Average daily per capita expenditures (in 2010 USD) in CNA households j. Total estimated population of CNA households in the FFP project implementation area	Gendered Household Type: Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA)

Key:	Indicators classified					oiects that have re	levant interv	entions. The relev	ant intervention	n has been sr	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable		Source	Who collects?	Frequency of		Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
60	Inclusive Agricultural Sector Growth	GNDR	2	Proportion of female participants in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive economic resources (assets, credit, income or employment)	R	All projects	F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	a. Proportion of female participants in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive economic resources b. Total number of male and female participants in the USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive economic resources c. Proportion of female participants 10-29 years of age in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive economic resources d. Total number of male and female participants 10-29 years of age in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive economic resources e. Proportion of female participants over 30 years of age in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive economic resources f. Total number of male and female participants over 30 years of age in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive economic resources	By age group: 10-29 yrs, 30 and over
61	Inclusive Agricultural Sector Growth	n/a		Percentage of men and women who earned cash in the past 12 months	RiA*	Applicable for projects promoting agriculture and/or livelihoods interventions	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of men and women who earned cash in the past 12 months b. Total estimated population of men and women in the FFP project implementation area c. Percentage of men who earned cash in the past 12 months d. Total estimated population of men in the FFP project implementation area e. Percentage of women who earned cash in the past 12 months f. Total estimated population of women in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
62	Inclusive Agricultural Sector Growth	n/a	n/a	Percentage of men/women in union and earning cash who make decisions alone about the use of self-earned cash		Applicable for projects promoting agriculture and/or livelihoods interventions	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of men in union and earning cash who make decisions alone about the use of self-earned cash b. Total estimated population of men in union who earned cash in the past 12 months in the FFP project implementation area c. Percentage of women in union and earning cash who make decisions alone about the use of self-earned cash d. Total estimated population of women in union who earned cash in the past 12 months in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female

				all development professional development develop		ojects that have re	levant interv	entions. The relev	ant intervention	n has been si	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable		Source	Who collects?			Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
63	Inclusive Agricultural Sector Growth		n/a	Percentage of men/women in union and earning cash who make decisions jointly with spouse/partner about the use of self-earned cash	RiA*	Applicable for projects promoting agriculture and/or livelihoods interventions	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of men in union and earning cash who make decisions jointly with spouse/partner about the use of self-earned cash b. Total estimated population of men in union who earned cash in the past 12 months in the FFP project implementation area c. Percentage of women in union and earning cash who make decisions jointly with spouse/partner about the use of self-earned cash d. Total estimated population of women who earned cash in the past 12 months in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
	Improved	proved Nuti	ritional Sta	itus, Especially of V	vomen and Cn	lidren					a. Percent of children 0-59 months of age that is stunted b. Total estimated population of children 0-59 months of age in the FFP project implementation area	
6	Nutritional Status, Especially of Women and Children	3.1.9	11	Prevalence of stunted children under five years of age	R	All projects	FTF and F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	impact	c. Percent of male children 0-59 months of age that is stunted	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
7	Improved Nutritional Status, Especially of Women and Children	3.1.9	13	Prevalence of underweight women	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions	FTF and F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	impact	a. Percent of non-pregnant women of reproductive age that is underweight b. Total estimated population of women of reproductive age in the FFP project implementation area	None

Key:				r all development pi		rojects that have re	elevant interv	entions. The relev	ant intervention	n has been s	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number under SPS location	Indicator Title	R: Required	Applicability	Source	Who collects?	Frequency of		Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
64	Improved Nutritional Status, Especially of Women and Children	n/a	n/a	Percentage of men and women with children under two who have knowledge of maternal and child health and nutrition (MCHN) practices		Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of men and women with children under two who have knowledge of MCHN practices b. Total estimated population of men and women with children under two in the FFP project implementation area c. Percentage of men with children under two who have knowledge of MCHN practices d. Total estimated population of men with children under two in the FFP project implementation area e. Percentage of women with children under two who have knowledge of MCHN practices f. Total estimated population of women with children under two in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
65	Improved Nutritional Status, Especially of Women and Children	n/a	n/a	Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make maternal health and nutrition decisions alone		Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of men in union with children under two who make maternal health and nutrition decisions alone b. Total estimated population of men in union with children under two in the FFP project implementation area c.Percentage of women in union with children under two who make maternal health and nutrition decisions alone d. Total estimated population of women in union with children under two in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
66	Improved Nutritional Status, Especially of Women and Children	n/a	n/a	Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make maternal health and nutrition decisions jointly with spouse/partner		Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of men in union with children under two who make maternal health and nutrition decisions jointly with spouse/partner b. Total estimated population of men in union with children under two in the FFP project implementation area c. Percentage of women in union with children under two who make maternal health and nutrition decisions jointly with spouse/partner d. Total estimated population of women in union with children under two in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female

Key:	Indicators classifie					rojects that have re	elevant interv	entions. The relev	ant intervention	n has been si	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable			Who collects?	Frequency of	Indicator	Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
67	Improved Nutritional Status, Especially of Women and Children	n/a	n/a	Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make child health and nutrition decisions alone	RiA*	Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of men in union with children under two who make child health and nutrition decisions alone b. Total estimated population of men in union with children under two in the FFP project implementation area c.Percentage of women in union with children under two who make child health and nutrition decisions alone d. Total estimated population of women in union with children under two in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
68	Improved Nutritional Status, Especially of Women and Children	n/a	n/a	Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make child health and nutrition decisions jointly with spouse/partner	RiA*	Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of men in union with children under two who make child health and nutrition decisions jointly with spouse/partner b. Total estimated population of men in union with children under two in the FFP project implementation area c. Percentage of women in union with children under two who make child health and nutrition decisions jointly with spouse/partner d. Total estimated population of women in union with children under two in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
Interme	ediate Result 1: Imp	proved Agri	cultural Pro	oductivity				T	r			
	Improved Agricultural Productivity	4.5	16,17,18	Gross margin per hectare, animal or cage of selected product	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting value chain activities for selected commodities	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	a. Hectares planted (for crops); Number of animals (for milk, eggs); or Area (ha) of ponds or Number of crates (for fish) b. Total Production c. Value of Sales (USD) d. Quantity of Sales e. Purchased input costs	Targeted commodity (type of crop, type of animalor animal product, or type of fish –freshwater or marine). Sex of farmer: Male, Female, Joint, Association-applied

Key:				all development pr		roiects that have re	elevant interv	entions The relev	ant intervention	has heen si	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required			Who collects?	Frequency of	Indicator	Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
Sub-In	termediate Result 1	.1: Enhance	ed Human a	and Institutional Ca	pacity Develo	pment for Increase	d Sustainable	e Agriculture Sect	or Productivity			
·	Enhanced Human and Institutional Capacity Development for Increased Agricultural Sector Productivity	4.5.2	5	Number of farmers and others who have applied improved technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting improved technologies or management practices	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	N/A	Value chain actor type: Producers, Others Technology type: Crop genetics, Cultural practices, Livestock management, Wild fishing technique/gear, Aquaculture management, Pest management, Disease management, Soil-related fertility and conservation, Irrigation, Water management non-irrigation based, Climate mitigation or adaptation, Marketing and distribution, Post-harvest—handling & storage, Value-added processing, Other; Total w/one or more improved technology/practice. Sex: Male, Female

No.	I()hiactiva/	SPS Location	ID number under SPS location	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable	Applicability	Source	Who collects?	Frequency of collection?		Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
1	Enhanced Human and Institutional Capacity Development for Increased Agricultural Sector Productivity	4.5.2	42	Number of private enterprises, producers organizations, water users associations, women's groups, trade and business associations and community-based organizations (CBOs) that applied improved technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance	RiA	Applicable for projects promoting improved technologies or management practices collectively as an organization, enterprise, group or association	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	N/A	Type of organization (see indicator title for principal types) <u>Duration</u> : New, Continuing

Key:				all development p		rojects that have re	lovant intony	ontions. The relev	ant intervention	has boon si	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required		Source	Who collects?	Frequency of	Indicator	Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
1	Enhanced Human and Institutional Capacity Development for Increased Agricultural Sector Productivity	4.5.2	7	Number of individuals who have received USG supported short-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting short-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	Type of individual: Producers, People in government, People in private sector firms, People in civil society Sex: Male, Female
1	Enhanced Human and Institutional Capacity Development for Increased Agricultural Sector Productivity	4.5.2	11	Number of food security private enterprises (for profit), producers organizations, water users associations, women's groups, trade and business associations, and community-based organizations (CBOs) receiving USG assistance		Applicable for projects assisting organizations, enterprises, groups and associations to achieve objectives collectively	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	Type of organization (see indicator title for principal types) Duration: New, Continuing

Key:			•	all development purificable for all d	•	rojects that have re	elevant interv	entions. The relev	ant intervention	n has been s	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required			Who collects?	Frequency of collection?	Indicator	Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
1	Enhanced Human and Institutional Capacity Development for Increased Agricultural Sector Productivity	4.5.2	34	Number of people implementing risk-reducing practices/actions to improve resilience to climate change as a result of USG assistance	RiA	Applicable for projects implementing risk reduction activities and/ or promoting resilience to climate change	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	N/A	Type of Risk reducing practice: -Agriculture risk-reducing practices/actions -Water risk-reducing practices/actions -Health risk-reducing practices/actions -Disaster risk-reducing (DRR) practices/actions -Urban risk-reducing practices/actions -Other risk-reducing practices/actions -Other risk-reducing practices/actions Sex: Male, Female

Key:	Indicators classifie Indicators classifie					rojects that have re	elevant interv	entions. The relev	ant intervention	n has been s	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable	Applicability	Source	Who collects?		Indicator Type	Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
Sub-Int	ermediate Result 1	.2: Enhance	ed Technolo	ogy Development,	Dissemination	, Management, an	d Innovation					
14	Enhanced Technology Development, Dissemination, Management, and Innovation	N/A		Percentage of farmers who used at least [a project-defined minimum number of] sustainable agriculture (crop, livestock, and/or NRM) practices and/or technologies in the past 12 months	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting sustainable agriculture practices and/or technologies	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of farmers who used at least "X" number of sustainable agriculture (crop, livestock, and/or NRM) practices and/or technologies b. Number of sustainable agriculture(crop, livestock, and/or NRM) practices and/or technologies c. Total estimated population of farmers in the FFP project implementation area By sex d. Percentage of male farmers who used at least "X" number of sustainable agriculture (crop, livestock, and/or NRM) practices and/or technologies e. Total estimated population of male farmers in the FFP project implementation area f. Percentage of female farmers who used at least "X" number of sustainable agriculture (crop, livestock, and/or NRM) practices and/or technologies g. Total estimated population of female farmers in the FFP project implementation area By Type of Sustainable Agriculture Practice and/or Technology: Crop h. Percentage of farmers who used at least "X" number of sustainable crop practices and/or technologies i. Number of sustainable crop practices and/or technologies Livestock j. Percentage of farmers who used at least "X" number of sustainable livestock practices and/or technologies k. Number of sustainable livestock practices and/or technologies NRM l. Percentage of farmers who used at least "X" number of sustainable NRM practices and/or technologies m. Number of sustainable NRM practices and/or technologies	By Type of Sustainable Agriculture Practice and/or Technology: Crop, Livestock, NRM Sex: Male, Female

Key:	Indicators classifie	d as R are r	equired for	all development p	rojects.							
	Indicators classifie	d as RiA are	required i	f applicable for all o	levelopment p	rojects that have re	elevant interv	entions. The relev	ant intervention	n has been s	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	IOhiective/	SPS Location	ID number under SPS location	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable	Applicability	Source	Who collects?		Indicator Type	Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
15	Enhanced Technology Development, Dissemination, Management, and Innovation	4.5.2	2	Number of hectares under improved technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting improved technologies or management practices	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	N/A	Technology type: Crop genetics, Cultural practices, Pest management, Disease management, Soil-related fertility and conservation, Irrigation, Water management, Climate mitigation or adaptation, Other; total w/one or more improved technology Sex: Male, Female, Joint, Association-applied
Interm	ediate Result 2: Exp	anding Ma	rkets and 1	Trade								
	Expanding Markets and Trade	4.5.2		Value of incremental sales (collected at farm level) attributed to USG implementation	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting value chain activities for selected commodities	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	Totals for indicator (for all commodities): a. Total Baseline Sales b. Total Number of Direct Beneficiaries c. Total Reporting Year Sales d. Total Volume of Sales (MT) For each commodity: e. Baseline Sales f. Number of Direct Beneficiaries g. Reporting Year Sales h. Volume of Sales (MT) i. Baseline Sales per Beneficiary i. Adjusted Baseline Sales	Commodity

Key:	Indicators classified Indicators classified					rojects that have re	levant interv	entions. The relev	ant interventior	n has been sp	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.			ID number under SPS location	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable	Applicability	Source	Who collects?	Frequency of collection?	Indicator Type	Data points	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
Sub-Int	ermediate Result 2.	.1: Improve	d Market I	Efficiency								
17	Improved Market Efficiency	N/A		Percentage of farmers who used improved storage practices in the past 12 months	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting improved storage practices	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of farmers who used improved storage practices b. Total estimated population of farmers in the FFP project implementation area c. Percentage of male farmers who used improved storage practices d. Total estimated population of male farmers in the FFP project implementation area e. Percentage of female farmers who used improved storage practices f. Total estimated population of female farmers in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
18	Improved Market Efficiency	4.5	10	Total increase in installed storage capacity (m ³)	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting construction or rehabilitation of storage space	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	Storage Type: Dry, Cold
Interme	ediate Result 2.2: In	nproved Ac	cess to Bu	siness Developmen	t and Sound a	nd Affordable Fina	ncial and Ris	k Management Sei	rvices			
19	Improved Market Efficiency or Access to Services	4.5.1		Kilometers of roads improved or constructed		Applicable for all projects constructing or improving roads	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	<u>Construction Type</u> : Improved, Constructed (new)
20	Improved Market Efficiency	N/A		Number of market infrastructures rehabilitated and/or constructed	RiA	Applicable for projects rehabilitating and/or constructing market infrastructures	FFP	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	Infrastructure Status: rehabilitated, constructed Number of vendors using the infrastructure: Less than 5, 6 to 10, and 11 or more

Key:	Indicators classifie		•	•	•	roiects that have re	elevant interv	entions. The relev	ant interventior	n has been si	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable		Source	Who collects?	Frequency of		Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
21	Improved Access to Business Development and Sound and Affordable Financial and Risk Management Services	N/A		Percentage of farmers who used financial services (savings, agricultural credit, and/or agricultural insurance) in the past 12 months	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting increased use of financial services	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of farmers who used financial services b. Total estimated population of farmers in the FFP project implementation area c. Percentage of male farmers who used financial services d. Total estimated population of male farmers in the FFP project implementation area e. Percentage of female farmers who used financial services f. Total estimated population of female farmers in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
22	Improved Access to Business Development and Sound and Affordable Financial and Risk Management Services	N/A		Percentage of farmers who practiced the value chain activities promoted by the project in the past 12 months	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting value chain activities for selected commodities	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percentage of farmers who practiced the value chain activities b. Total estimated population of farmers in the FFP project implementation area c. Percentage of male farmers who practiced the value chain activities d. Total estimated population of male farmers in the FFP project implementation area e. Percentage of female farmers who practiced the value chain activities f. Total estimated population of female farmers in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
23	Improved Access to Business Development and Sound and Affordable Financial and Risk Management Services	4.5.2		Value of Agricultural and Rural Loans	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting increased access to credit through financial institutions	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	N/A	Type of loan recipient: Producers, Local traders/assemblers, Wholesalers/processors, Others. Sex of recipient: Male, Female, Joint, n/a

	Indicators classified Indicators classified					rojects that have re	levant interv	entions. The relev	ant interventior	n has been s	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required			Who collects?	Frequency of	Indicator	Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
24	Improved Access to Business Development and Sound and Affordable Financial and Risk Management Services	4.5.2	30	Number of MSMEs, including farmers, receiving USG assistance to access loans	RiA	Applicable for projects facilitating MSMEs' access to loans from formal or informal financial institutions	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	<u>Size</u> : Micro, Small, Medium <u>Sex of owner/producer</u> : Male, Female, Joint, n/a
25	Improved Access to Business Development and Sound and Affordable Financial and Risk Management Services	4.5.2	37	Number of MSMEs, including farmers, receiving business development services from USG- assisted sources	RiA	Applicable for projects providing business development services to MSMEs	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	Size: Micro, Small, Medium MSME Type: Agricultural producer, Input supplier, Trader, Output processors, Non-agriculture, Other Sex of owner/producer: Male, Female, Joint, n/a
26	Improved Access to Business Development and Sound and Affordable Financial and Risk Management Services	N/A		Number of MSMEs, including farmers, receiving FFP assistance to access savings programs		Applicable for projects facilitating MSMEs' access to savings	FFP	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	Size: Micro, Small, Medium Sex of owner/producer: Male, Female, Joint, n/a

Key:	Indicators classified											
	Indicators classified	d as RiA are	required if	applicable for all d	evelopment pr	ojects that have re	levant interv	entions. The relev	ant intervention	has been s	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	IObjective/	SPS Location	ID number under SPS location	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable	Applicability	Source	Who collects?	Frequency of collection?		Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
2	Improved Access to Business Development and Sound and Affordable Financial and Risk Management Services	N/A		Number of farmers who practiced the value chain activities promoted by the project	RiA	Applicable for projects implementing value chain activities for selected commodities	FFP	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	N/A	<u>Value Chain Stages</u> : Use of improved inputs, post-harvest handling, value-added processing, marketing/trading <u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
Interr	nediate Result 3: Incr	eased Resi	lience of V	ulnerable Commun	ities and Hous	eholds						
2	Increased Resilience of 8 Vulnerable Communities and Households	3.1.9.1 4.7		Prevalence of households with moderate or severe hunger (Household Hunger Scale - HHS)	R	All projects	FTF and F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	impact	a. Percent of households with moderate to severe hunger b. Total estimated population of households in the FFP project implementation area c. Percent of FNM households with moderate to severe hunger d. Total estimated population of FNM households in the FFP project implementation area e. Percent of MNF households with moderate to severe hunger f. Total estimated population of MNF households in the FFP project implementation area g. Percent of M&F households with moderate to severe hunger h. Total estimated population of M&F households in the FFP project implementation area i. Percent of CNA households with moderate to severe hunger j. Total estimated population of CNA households in the FFP project implementation area	Gendered Household Type: Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA)
2	Increased Resilience of 9 Vulnerable Communities and Households	N/A		Average Household Dietary Diversity Score (HDDS)	R	All projects	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	impact	a. Average Household Dietary Diversity Score b. Total estimated population of households in the FFP project implementation area	None

	Indicators classifie Indicators classifie					rojects that have re	elevant interv	entions. The relev	ant interventior	n has been si	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required			Who collects?	Frequency of	Indicator	Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
30	Increased Resilience of Vulnerable Communities and Households	N/A		Number of communities with disaster early warning and response (EWR) systems working effectively	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting community based EWR systems	FFP	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	N/A	None
31	Increased Resilience of Vulnerable Communities and Households	5.2.1		Number of people trained in disaster preparedness as a result of USG assistance	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting EWR systems	F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
32	Increased Resilience of Vulnerable Communities and Households	3.3.3	9	Number of people benefiting from USG-supported social assistance programming	RiA	Applicable for all projects providing cash, food, or other inkind assistance	F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
33	Increased Resilience of Vulnerable Communities and Households	3.3.3	15	Number of USG social assistance beneficiaries participating in productive safety nets	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting conditional safety nets	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	N/A	Type of Asset strengthened: Community assets, Human assets/capital, Household assets Duration: New, Continuing Sex: Male, Female

Key:	Indicators classified					roiects that have re	levant interv	entions The relev	ant intervention	n has heen sr	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required			Who collects?	Frequency of	Indicator	Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
34	Increased Resilience of Vulnerable Communities and Households	4.5.2	14	Number of vulnerable households benefiting directly from USG assistance	R	All projects	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	<u>Duration:</u> New, Continuing <u>Gendered Household Type:</u> Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA)
51	Increased Resilience of Vulnerable Communities and Households	4.5.2	13	Number of rural households benefiting directly from USG interventions	R	All projects	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	<u>Duration:</u> New, Continuing <u>Gendered Household Type:</u> Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA)
Interm	ediate Result 4: Imp	proved Acce	ss to Dive	rse and Quality Foo	ods							
4	Improved Access to Diverse and Quality Foods	tbd	tbd	Proportion of women of reproductive age who are consuming a minimum dietary diversity	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting increased dietary diversity among women	FTF	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Proportion of women of reproductive age who are consuming a minimum dietary diversity b. Total estimated population of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in the FFP project implementation area	None
35	Improved Access to Diverse and Quality Foods	3.1.9.1	1	Prevalence of children 6–23 months receiving a minimum acceptable diet (MAD)	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting feeding children minimum acceptable diet	I FIF and F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of children 6-23 months receiving a minimum acceptable diet b. Total estimated population of children 6-23 months in the FFP project implementation area c. Percent of male children 6-23 months receiving a minimum acceptable diet d. Total estimated population of male children 6-23 months in the FFP project implementation area e. Percent of female children 6-23 months receiving a minimum acceptable diet f. Total estimated population of female children 6-23 months in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female

Key:	Indicators classified					rojects that have re	elevant interv	entions. The relev	ant intervention	n has been si	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required	Applicability	Source	Who collects?	Frequency of		Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
Interm	ediate Result 5: Imp	roved Nut	rition-Rela	ted Behaviors								
37	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.9.1	4	Prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding of children under six months of age	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting exclusive breastfeeding	FTF and F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of children 0-5 months of age who are exclusively breast fed b. Total estimated population of children 0-5 months of age in the FFP project implementation area c. Percent of male children 0-5 months of age who are exclusively breast fed d. Total estimated population of male children 0-5 months of age in the FFP project implementation area e. Percent of female children 0-5 months of age who are exclusively breast fed f. Total estimated population of female children 0-5 months of age in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex:</u> Male, Female
38	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.8		Percentage of children under age five who had diarrhea in the prior two weeks	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting behavior change communication related to WASH	F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of children 0–59 months of age who had diarrhea in the prior two weeks b. Total estimated population of children 0–59 months of age in the FFP project implementation area c. Percent of male children 0–59 months of age who had diarrhea in the prior two week d. Total estimated population of male children 0–59 months of age in the FFP project implementation area e. Percent of female children 0–59 months of age who had diarrhea in the prior two week f. Total estimated population of female children 0–59 months of age in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex:</u> Male, Female
39	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.6.7		Percent of children under five years old with diarrhea treated with Oral Rehydration Therapy (ORT)	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting ORT	F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of children 0–59 months of age with diarrhea treated with Oral Rehydration Therapy (ORT) b. Total estimated population of children 0–59 months of age with diarrhea in the past 2 weeks in the FFP project implementation area c. Percent of male children 0–59 months of age with diarrhea treated with Oral Rehydration Therapy (ORT) d. Total estimated population of male children 0–59 months of age with diarrhea in the past 2 weeks in the FFP project implementation area e. Percent of female children 0–59 months of age with Oral Rehydration Therapy (ORT) f. Total estimated population of female children 0–59 months of age with diarrhea in the past 2 weeks in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Sex:</u> Male, Female

				all development pr		roinets that have a	lovant inta	ontions. The relative	ant into ment!	. hac base =:	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required	Applicability	Source	Who collects?	Frequency of		Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
40	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.8.1	1	Percent of households using an improved drinking water source	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions. For other projects, data will be collected but no targets required.	F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of households using an improved drinking water source b. Total estimated population of households in the FFP project implementation area	None
41	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.8.2	1	Percent of households using an improved sanitation facility	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions. For other projects, data will be collected but no targets required.	F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of households using an improved sanitation facility b. Total estimated population of households in the FFP project implementation area	None
42	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.6.8		Percent of households with soap and water at a handwashing station commonly used by family members	RiA	Applicable to all projects promoting behavior change communication related to WASH	F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of households with soap and water at a handwashing station commonly used by family members b. Total estimated population of households in the FFP project implementation area	None

Key:	Indicators classified					rojects that have re	levant interv	entions The relev	ant intervention	has heen si	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable			Who collects?	Frequency of	Indicator	Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
43	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.6.8	2	Percent of households in target areas practicing correct use of recommended household water treatment technologies	RiA	Applicable for projects promoting behaviors related to water treatment	F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of households practicing correct use of recommended household water treatment technologies b. Total estimated population of households in the FFP project implementation area c. Percent of households practicing correct use of recommended chlorination water treatment technologies d. Percent of households practicing correct use of recommended filtration water treatment technologies e. Percent of households practicing correct use of recommended solar disinfection water treatment technologies f. Percent of households practicing correct use of recommended boiling water treatment technologies	By technology type: Chlorination, Filtration, Solar disinfection, Boiling
44	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	N/A		Percent of households that can obtain drinking water in less than 30 minutes (round trip)	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions. For other projects, data will be collected but no targets required.	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of households that can obtain drinking water in less than 30 minutes (round trip) b. Total estimated population of households in the FFP project implementation area	None
45	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.6.8	3	Percent of population in target areas practicing open defecation	RiA	Applicable for projects promoting safe sanitation behaviors	F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of households practicing open defecation b. Total estimated population of households in the FFP project implementation area	None

	Indicators classified					niects that have re	levant interv	entions The relev	ant intervention	n has been sr	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required		Source	M/ha callacts?	Frequency of	Indicator	Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	N/A		Percent of physically improved sanitation facilities with feces visibly present on the floor, wall, or area immediately surrounding the facility		Applicable for projects promoting safe sanitation behaviors	FFP	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	a. Percent of sanitation facilities with feces visibly present on the floor, wall or area immediately surrounding the facility b. Total number of sanitation facilities directly observed	None
	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.8.1	2	Number of people gaining access to an improved drinking water source	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions	F	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	N/A	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
48	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.8.2	2	Number of people gaining access to an improved sanitation facility	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions	F	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	N/A	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female
	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.8.2	3	Number of improved toilets provided in institutional settings		Applicable for projects providing toilets in institutional settings	F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	By Type of Institution: School, Health Facility

Key:	Indicators classifie											
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable		Source	Who collects?	Frequency of	Indicator Type	If t more than one data noint needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
50	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	3.1.6.8		Number of communities certified as "open defecation free" (ODF) as a result of USG assistance	RiA	Applicable for projects promoting open defecation free certification	F	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	N/A	None
69	Improved access to diverse and quality foods	4.5.2.8		Prevalence of women of reproductive age who consume targeted nutrient- rich value chain commodities	RiA	Applicable for projects promoting consumption of nutrient-rich value chain commodities among women of reproductive age	FTF	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) who consume at least one targeted nutrient-rich value chain commodity b. Total estimated population of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in the FFP project implementation area c. Percent of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) who consume each targeted nutrient-rich value chain commodity	Commodity
70	Improved access to diverse and quality foods	4.5.2.8		Prevalence of children 6-23 months who consume targeted nutrient-rich value chain commodities	RiA	Applicable for projects promoting consumption of nutrient-rich value chain commodities among children 6-23 months age	FTF	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of children 6-23 months who consume at least one targeted nutrient-rich value chain commodity b. Percent of children 6-23 months who consume each targeted nutrient-rich value chain commodity c. Total estimated population of children 6-23 months in the FFP project implementation area d. Percent of male children 6-23 months who consume at least one targeted nutrient-rich value chain commodity e. Total estimated population of male children 6-23 months in the FFP project implementation area f. Percent of female children 6-23 months who consume at least one targeted nutrient-rich value chain commodity g. Total estimated population of female children 6-23 months in the FFP project implementation area	<u>Commodity</u> <u>Sex:</u> Male, Female

				all development pr applicable for all d		rojects that have re	elevant interv	entions. The relev	ant intervention	n has been si	pecified in the applicability column in the table	
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number		R: Required		Source	Who collects?	Frequency of collection?		Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
Interme	ediate Result 6: Imp	proved Use	of Materna	al and Child Health	and Nutrition	Services						
52	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	N/A		Percent of births receiving at least 4 antenatal care (ANC) visits during pregnancy	RiA	Applicable for projects implementing health, nutrition and/or family planning activities targeting women of reproductive health and/or children 6 months and under.	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Percent of births receiving at least 4 antenatal care (ANC) visits during most recent pregnancy that resulted in a live birth b. Total estimated population of women who had a live birth during the last 5 years in the FFP project implementation area	None
53	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	N/A		Number of live births receiving at least four antenatal care (ANC) visits during pregnancy	RiA	Applicable for projects implementing health, nutrition and/or family planning activities targeting women of reproductive health and/or children 6 months and under.	FFP	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	N/A	None
54	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	N/A		Number of children under 2 (0-23 months old) participating in growth monitoring and promotion	RiA	Applicable for projects that include nutrition	FFP	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	N/A	<u>Sex:</u> Male, Female

Key:	Indicators classified as R are required for all development projects. Indicators classified as RiA are required if applicable for all development projects that have relevant interventions. The relevant intervention has been specified in the applicability column in the table											
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable			Who collects?	Frequency of	Indicator	Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
55	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	N/A		Contraceptive Prevalence Rate (CPR)	RiA	Applicable for any projects promoting birth spacing/ family planning	FFP	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation		a. Percent of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) who are married or in a sexual union and who are currently using any contraceptive method b. Total estimated number of women 15-49 who are married or in a sexual union	None
56	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	3.1.9	1	Number of people trained in child health and nutrition through USG-supported programs	RiA	Applicable for any projects with a MCHN component	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	<u>Sex:</u> Male, Female
57	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	3.1.9	15	Number of children under five reached by USG-supported nutrition programs	RiA	Applicable for any projects with a MCHN component	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	<u>Sex:</u> Male, Female
58	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	3.1.9.2		Number of children under five years of age who received vitamin A from USG-supported programs	RiA	Applicable for any projects facilitating vitamin A distribution	FTF and F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	<u>Sex</u> : Male, Female

	Indicators classified as R are required for all development projects. Indicators classified as RiA are required if applicable for all development projects that have relevant interventions. The relevant intervention has been specified in the applicability column in the table											
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number		R: Required			who collects?	Frequency of		Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
INDICA"	TORS APPLICABLE O	ONLY FOR P	ROJECTS A	WARDED ON OR B	EFORE FY 2013	•						
36	Improved Access to Diverse and Quality Foods	3.1.9.1	2	Women's Dietary Diversity Score: Mean number of food groups consumed by women of reproductive age (WDDS)	RiA	Applicable for all projects awarded on or before FY 2013 and that collected this indicator during the baseline survey	FTF and F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	outcome	a. Mean number of food groups consumed by women of reproductive age (15-49 years) b. Total estimated population of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in the FFP project implementation area	None
59	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	3.1.7.1	4	Number of additional USG-assisted community health workers (CHWs) providing family planning (FP) information and/or services during the year		Applicable only for projects awarded on or before FY 2013 and that are already collecting and reporting on this indicator	F	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	None
71	Inclusive Agricultural Sector Growth	4.5		Women's Empowerment in Agriculture Index	RiA	Applicable only for projects awarded on or before FY 2013 that collected this indicator during the baseline survey	FTF and F	Third-party survey firm	baseline and final evaluation	impact		None

	Indicators classified as R are required for all development projects. Indicators classified as RiA are required if applicable for all development projects that have relevant interventions. The relevant intervention has been specified in the applicability column in the table							entions. The relev	ant interventior	n has been sp		
No.	Project	SPS Location	ID number	Indicator Title	R: Required		Source	Who collects?	Frequency of		Data points (if more than one data point needed)	Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted)
72	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	N/A		Percent of cases of acute malnutrition in children under 5 (6–59 months) detected who are referred for treatment	RIA	Applicable only for projects awarded on or before FY 2013 and that are already collecting and reporting on this indicator	FFP	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	N/A	None
	Improved Nutrition-Related Behaviors	N/A		Percent of villages in catchment area that hold to regular maintenance schedules for sanitation facilities		Applicable only for projects awarded on or before FY 2013 and that are already collecting and reporting on this indicator	FFP	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	N/A	None
74	Improved Use of Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition Services	N/A		Number of women receiving postpartum family planning counseling		Applicable only for projects awarded on or before FY 2013 and that are already collecting and reporting on this indicator	FFP	Implementing Partners	annually	output	N/A	None

RiA* = This indicator will be piloted in FY 2015

Annex 1. Overview of FFP Indicators

The updated list of FFP indicators has 74 indicators. Six of these indicators are only applicable to projects awarded on or before FY 2013 (see table of discontinued indicators). The following tables summarize the characteristics of FFP indicators. Please note this includes both annual monitoring indicators and baseline/final evaluation indicators.

FFP INDICATORS BY FREQUENCY OF COLLECTION					
Annual M	onitoring	Baseline/Final Evaluation			
37	7	37			
Required	Required if applicable	Required	Required if applicable		
3	34	7	30		

FFP INDICATORS BY SOURCE					
F	FTF	FFP only			
15	33	26			

Annex 2. List of Changes to FFP Indicators

Below is the list of changes since the April 2013 List of FFP Indicators. FFP added, dropped, discontinued, and changed indicators. See tables below for details. Please note that changes apply to both annual monitoring indicators and baseline/final evaluation indicators.

New indicators

No.	Indicator title	Frequency of collection BL/FE = baseline/final evaluation A= annually
4	Proportion of women of reproductive age who are consuming a minimum dietary diversity	BL/FE
44	Percent of households that can obtain drinking water in less than 30 minutes (round trip)	BL/FE
46	Percent of physically improved sanitation facilities with feces visibly present on the floor, wall, or area immediately surrounding the facility	А
51	Number of rural households benefiting directly from USG interventions	А
55	Contraceptive Prevalence Rate (CPR)	BL/FE
61	Percentage of men and women who earned cash in the past 12 months	BL/FE
62	Percentage of men/women in union and earning cash who make decisions alone about the use of self-earned cash	BL/FE
63	Percentage of men/women in union and earning cash who make decisions jointly with spouse/partner about the use of self-earned cash	BL/FE
64	Percentage of men and women with children under two who have knowledge of maternal and child health and nutrition (MCHN) practices	BL/FE
65	Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make maternal health and nutrition decisions alone	BL/FE
66	Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make maternal health and nutrition decisions jointly with spouse/partner	BL/FE
67	Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make child health and nutrition decisions alone	BL/FE
68	Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make child health and nutrition decisions jointly with spouse/partner	BL/FE
69	Prevalence of women of reproductive age who consume targeted nutrient-rich value chain commodities	BL/FE

70	<u> </u>	Prevalence of children 6-23 months who consume targeted	BL/FE
'	J	nutrient-rich value chain commodities	BL/FE

Dropped Indicators

No.	Indicator title	Frequency of collection BL/FE = baseline/final evaluation A= annually
44	Time needed to fetch water	Α

Indicators discontinued for new FFP projects, but still applicable for projects awarded on or before FY 2013 and currently reporting on them

No.	Indicator title	Frequency of collection BL/FE = baseline/final evaluation A= annually
36	Women's Dietary Diversity Score: Mean number of food groups consumed by women of reproductive age (WDDS)	BL/FE
59	Number of additional USG-assisted community health workers (CHWs) providing family planning (FP) information and/or services during the year	A
71	Women's Empowerment in Agriculture Index	BL/FE
72	Percent of cases of acute malnutrition in children under 5 (6–59 months) detected who are referred for treatment	Α
73	Percent of villages in catchment area that hold to regular maintenance schedules for sanitation facilities	А
74	Number of women receiving postpartum family planning counseling	А

Changes

Change	Description
Titles for indicators 3, 5, 8, 9, 10, 13, 22, 26, 27, 34, 53, 54	Indicator titles were slightly changed to either align with FTF or because of FFP revisions.
Definitions for FTF indicators	Indicators definitions have been updated to align with the October 2014 version of the FTF handbook.
Applicability criteria	Applicability criteria were redefined for many indicators. Check the applicability column in the FFP Indicators list.
Standard indicators were relabeled	Standard indicators have been relabeled as required if applicable. There are no standard

	indicators.
Agriculture indicators for baseline and final evaluations	The agricultural module has been updated. Farmers' definition was updated, so that all farmers that share decision making over a plot of land (or set of animals) should be interviewed. The agriculture questionnaire was updated.
Data points for baseline and final evaluation indicators	Data points to enter in SAPQ were updated.
Disaggregation categories	Disaggregation categories were updated and/or added for most indicators.
Household Roster	The household roster was updated.
Gender Indicators	Eight gender indicators were developed for BL/FE surveys.