

Session Slides

Slide 1

Food for Peace Monitoring and Evaluation Workshop for
FFP Development Food Assistance Projects

**Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators
for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys**

February 2017
Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Food and Nutrition Technical Assistance III Project (FANTA)
FHI 360 1825 Connecticut Ave., NW Washington, DC 20009
Tel: 202-884-8000 Fax: 202-884-8432 Email: fantamail@fhi360.org Website: www.fantaproject.org

Slide 2

Session Objectives

By the end of the session, participants will have:

- **Shared** their interest or experience collecting indicators
- **Become** familiar with current FFP indicators for baseline and final evaluation surveys
- **Identified** the FFP indicators applicable to their project

Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys

Slide 3

Your Interest/Experience Collecting and Analyzing Data for Indicators

1. Household Food Access
2. Children's Nutritional Status and Feeding Practices
3. Women's Health and Nutrition
4. Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)
5. Agriculture
6. Poverty Measurement
7. Gender

Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys

Slide 4

FFP Compiles Data Annually from Awardees Worldwide





FFP/Washington DC

Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys

Slide 5

What are the FFP indicators for baseline and final evaluation surveys, and why were they revised?

Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys

Slide 6

FFP Indicators

USAID's Office of Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators Updated on April 15, 2015


Key: Indicators classified as R are required for all development projects. Indicators classified as F are required for all development projects that have relevant interventions. The relevant intervention has been specified in the applicability column in the table.

No.	Project Objective/Result	OPS Location	ID Number (under OPS location)	Indicator Title	R/Required or F/Optional	Applicability	Source	Who collects?	Frequency of collection	Indicator Type	Disaggregation (If none, then no data point needed)	Disaggregation (For Functions, only the disaggregations that are most relevant to FFP projects have been adopted)
1	Reduced Food Insecurity in Vulnerable Populations	3, 1, 9, 16	16	Prevalence of underweight children under five years of age	R	All projects	FFP and F	Third-party survey firm	Baseline and final evaluation	Impact	A. Percent of children 0-59 months of age that is underweight B. Total estimated population of children 0-59 months of age in the FFP project implementation area C. Percent of male children 0-59 months of age that is underweight D. Total estimated population of male children 0-59 months of age in the FFP project implementation area E. Percent of female children 0-59 months of age that is underweight F. Total estimated population of female children 0-59 months of age in the FFP project implementation area G. Percent of people living on < \$1.25/day H. Total estimated population in FFP households living on < \$1.25/day	Age, Male, Female
2	Reduced Food Insecurity in Vulnerable Populations	4, 17	17	Prevalence of Poverty: Percent of people living on less than \$1.25/day	R	All projects	FFP and F	Third-party survey firm	Baseline and final evaluation	Impact	A. Percent of people living on < \$1.25/day B. Total estimated population in FFP households living on < \$1.25/day C. Percent of people in FFP households living on < \$1.25/day D. Total estimated population of FFP households living on < \$1.25/day E. Percent of people in MHP households living on < \$1.25/day F. Total estimated population of MHP households living on < \$1.25/day G. Percent of people in M&F households living on < \$1.25/day H. Total estimated population of M&F households in the FFP project implementation area I. Percent of people in CHA households living on < \$1.25/day J. Total estimated population of CHA households in the FFP project implementation area	Gendered Household Type, Adult Female, Adult Male, FFP, Adult Male, No Adult Female, Adult Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA)
3	Reduced Food Insecurity in Vulnerable Populations	4, 1600	1600	Depth of Poverty: The maximum percent relative to the 25.20 poverty line	R	All projects	FFP	Third-party survey firm	Baseline and final evaluation	Impact	A. Depth of Poverty B. Total estimated population in the FFP project implementation area C. Depth of Poverty in FFP households D. Total estimated population of FFP households in the FFP project implementation area E. Depth of Poverty in MHP households F. Total estimated population of MHP households in the FFP project implementation area G. Depth of Poverty in M&F households H. Total estimated population of M&F households in the FFP project implementation area I. Depth of Poverty in CHA households J. Total estimated population of CHA households in the FFP project implementation area	Gendered Household Type, Adult Female, Adult Male, FFP, Adult Male, No Adult Female, Adult Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA)

Foot Level Objective 3: Inclusive Agriculture Sector Growth

Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys

Slide 7



Slide 8

How are FFP indicators for baseline study and final evaluation surveys collected, and who collects them?

- FFP indicators for baseline and final evaluation surveys must be collected through population-based surveys.
- A third-party firm will conduct baseline surveys for current awards.
- PVOs will report FFP indicator data to FFP.

Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys

Slide 9

Activity 1: FFP Indicators (per category)

- Take 5 minutes to read the indicators by yourself.
- Then form groups of 3 or 4 people and take 8 additional minutes to identify the indicators that you are not familiar with and discuss what you think they are about.

Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys

Slide 10

Are All FFP Indicators Required?




Two categories:

- Required
- Required if Applicable (RiA)

Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys

Slide 11

FFP Indicators Handbook
Part I. Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys



Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys

Slide 12

**Activity 2: Identification of FFP Indicators
Applicable to Your Project**

- Form groups based on project team.
- Using the list of FFP indicators in the handout provided to you, reach a consensus with your team members on which FFP indicators are applicable for your project and place a check next to those indicators.

Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys

Slide 13

Resources

FFP Indicators Handbook (Part I):
http://www.usaid.gov/sites/default/files/documents/1866/Part%20I_Baseline%20and%20Final%20Evaluation_04.13.2015.pdf

FANTA Indicator Guides

- Household Dietary Diversity Score for Measurement of Household Food Access:
<http://www.fantaproject.org/monitoring-and-evaluation/household-dietary-diversity-score>
- Household Hunger Scale: Indicator Definition and Measurement Guide:
<http://www.fantaproject.org/monitoring-and-evaluation/household-hunger-scale-hhs>
- Anthropometric Indicators Measurement Guide:
<http://www.fantaproject.org/tools/anthropometry-guide>

WHO Indicators for Assessing Infant and Young Child Feeding Practices, Parts I and II:
<http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/infantfeeding/9789241596664/en/>

Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys

Slide 14

Resources (cont.)

Handbook of FTF Indicators Definitions: <http://feedthefuture.gov/resource/feed-future-handbook-indicator-definitions>

Demographic and Health Surveys Tabulation Plan for DHS Final Report:
<http://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-DHSM6-DHS-Questionnaires-and-Manuals.cfm>

Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys

Slide 15



This presentation is made possible by the generous support of the American people through the support of the Office of Health, Infectious Diseases, and Nutrition, Bureau for Global Health; and the Office of Food for Peace, Bureau for Democracy, Conflict, and Humanitarian Assistance, United States Agency for International Development (USAID), under terms of Cooperative Agreement No. AID-OAA-A-12-00005, through the Food and Nutrition Technical Assistance III Project (FANTA), managed by FHI 360. The contents are the responsibility of FHI 360 and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.

Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys

Slide 1

OFFICE of FOOD FOR PEACE
2016-2025 FOOD ASSISTANCE AND
FOOD SECURITY STRATEGY


STRATEGIC RESULTS FRAMEWORK & INDICATORS

M&E Workshop

Addis - February 2017

USAID/FFP

Slide 2



Slide 3

STRATEGIC RESULTS FRAMEWORK
GOAL AND SO LEVEL INDICATORS

Goal: Food and Nutrition Security of Vulnerable Populations Improved and Sustained

1. Prevalence of stunted children under five years
2. Depth of Poverty
3. Prevalence of wasted children under five years
4. Prevalence of moderate to severe food insecurity in the households
5. Prevalence of healthy weight among women of reproductive age

Strategic Objective 1: Lives and Livelihoods Protected and Enhanced

1. Absorptive capacity index
2. Adaptive capacity index
3. Food Consumption Score
4. Prevalence of underweight women

Strategic Objective 2: Communities and Institutions Transformed

1. Transformative Capacity Index

3/20/2017 3

Slide 4

STRATEGIC RESULTS FRAMEWORK
FIRST LEVEL IR INDICATORS*

IR 1.1: Life-saving Food and Nutrition Needs Met	IR 1.2: Nutrition & WASH Practices Improved	IR 2.1: Social Protection Systems Strengthened	IR 2.2 Nutrition and Health Systems Strengthened
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Number of children under five (0-59 months) reached with nutrition specific interventions through USG supported programs 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prevalence of women of reproductive age consuming a diet of minimum diversity 2. Prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding of children under 6 months of age 3. Prevalence of children 6-23 months receiving a minimum acceptable diet 4. % of households with soap and water at a handwashing station commonly used by family members 5. % of households using a basic sanitation facility 6. Contraceptive Prevalence Rate (modern methods) 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Contraceptive Prevalence Rate (modern methods) 2. # of communities verified as open defecation free as a result of USG assistance 3. # of people gaining access to a basic drinking water source 4. % of detected cases of acute malnutrition in children under 5 referred for appropriate treatment

*These are a sub-set of the FFP SRF indicators; e.g., Proposed new SRF indicators for IR2.1 and IR2.2 will be finalized/updated.

3/20/2017 4

Slide 5

STRATEGIC RESULTS FRAMEWORK SECOND LEVEL IR INDICATORS*

IR 1.3: Natural Resource & Environmental Risk Management Capacities Improved	IR 1.4: On and Off-farm Livelihood Opportunities and Incomes Expanded	IR 2.3: Natural Resource and Environmental Risk Management Systems Strengthened	IR 2.4: Agricultural, Market and Financial Systems Strengthened
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Percentage of farmers who used at least [a project-defined minimum number of] sustainable NRM practices and/or technologies in the past 12 months 2. # of hectares of land under improved technologies or management practices with USG assistance 3. # of people using climate information or implementing risk-reducing actions to improve resilience to climate change as supported by USG assistance 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Value of smallholder incremental sales as a result of USG assistance 2. Yield of targeted agricultural commodities among program participants with USG assistance 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Value of small-holder incremental sales generated with USG assistance
<p>*These are a sub-set of the FFP SRF indicators; e.g., Proposed new SRF indicators for IR2.3 and IR2.4 will be finalized/updated.</p>			

Slide 6

STRATEGIC RESULTS FRAMEWORK CROSS-CUTTING IR LEVEL INDICATORS*

CC IR 1: Gender Equity & Youth Opportunities Increased	CC IR 2: Social Cohesion Enhanced	CC IR 3: Social Accountability of Institutions Strengthened
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. % of participants in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive econ resources of females (assets, credit, income, employment) who are female 2. % of men and women who earned cash in the past 12 months 3. % of m/w in union and earning cash who make decisions about the use of self-earned cash <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) jointly with spouse/partner b) alone 4. % of m/w in union with child under 2 who make child health and nutrition decisions <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) jointly with spouse/partner b) alone 5. % of m/w in union with children under 2 who make maternal health and nutrition decisions <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) jointly with spouse/partner b) alone 		
<p>*These are a sub-set of the FFP SRF indicators; e.g., Proposed new SRF indicators for CCIR1, CCIR2, and CCIR3 will be finalized/updated.</p>		

Slide 7



USAID
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

To access the strategy online:
www.usaid.gov/ffpstrategy

For questions on the FFP Strategic Results Framework Indicators:

CONTACT:
arashid@usaid.gov Monitoring and Evaluation Team Lead
cbaugh@usaid.gov Ethiopia/LAC/HQ
FFP M&E Team

12/2017

Activity 1/2. FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators

Activity 1:

- Take 5 minutes to read the indicators by yourself.
- Then form groups of 3 or 4 people and take 8 additional minutes to identify the indicators that you are not familiar with and discuss what you think they are about.

Activity 2:

- Form groups based on project team.
- Using the list of FFP indicators in the handout provided to you, reach a consensus with your team members on which FFP indicators are applicable for your project and place a check next to those indicators.
 - Required (R): Indicators classified as R are required for all development projects.
 - Required if Applicable (RiA): Indicators classified as RiA are required if applicable for all development projects that have relevant interventions.

No.	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable	Applicability	Frequency of collection?	Check All Applicable
Goal: Food and nutrition security of vulnerable populations improved and sustained					
1	Prevalence of underweight children under five years of age	R	All projects	Baseline and final evaluation	
2a	Prevalence of Poverty: Percent of people living on less than \$1.90/day	R	All projects	Baseline and final evaluation	
3a	Depth of Poverty: The mean percent shortfall relative to the \$1.90 poverty line	R	All projects	Baseline and final evaluation	
3a	Prevalence of wasted children under five years of age	R	All projects	Baseline and final evaluation	
6	Prevalence of stunted children under five years of age	R	All projects	Baseline and final evaluation	
85	Prevalence of moderate or severe food insecurity in the households (FIES)*	R	All projects	Baseline and final evaluation	
86	Prevalence of healthy weight among Women of Reproductive Age	R	All projects	Baseline and final evaluation	
Strategic Objective 1: Lives and livelihoods protected and enhanced					
5	Daily per capita expenditures (as a proxy for income) in USG-assisted areas	Context	All projects	Baseline and final evaluation	

No.	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable	Applicability	Frequency of collection?	Check All Applicable
7	Prevalence of underweight women	RiA	Projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions	Baseline and final evaluation	
29	Average Household Dietary Diversity Score (HDDS)	R	All projects	baseline and final evaluation	
82	Adaptive capacity index	RiA	Projects promoting interventions to strengthen capacities to better adapt to shocks	Baseline and final evaluation	
83	Absorptive capacity index	RiA	Projects promoting interventions to strengthen absorptive capacities	Baseline and final evaluation	
Intermediate Result 1.1: Life-saving food and nutrition needs met					
69	Prevalence of women of reproductive age who consume targeted nutrient-rich value chain commodities	RiA	Projects promoting consumption of nutrient-rich value chain commodities among women of reproductive age	Baseline and final evaluation	
70	Prevalence of children 6-23 months who consume targeted nutrient-rich value chain commodities	RiA	Projects promoting consumption of nutrient-rich value chain commodities among children 6-23 months age	baseline and final evaluation	
Intermediate Result 1.2: Nutrition and WASH practices improved					
4	Prevalence of women of reproductive age consuming a diet of minimum diversity	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting increased dietary diversity among women	Baseline and final evaluation	
35	Prevalence of children 6–23 months receiving a minimum acceptable diet (MAD)	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting feeding children minimum acceptable diet	Baseline and final evaluation	
37	Prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding of children under six months of age	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting exclusive breastfeeding	Baseline and final evaluation	
38	Percentage of children under age five who had diarrhea in the prior two weeks	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting behavior change communication related to WASH	Baseline and final evaluation	
39	Percent of children under five years old with diarrhea treated with Oral Rehydration Therapy (ORT)	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting ORT	Baseline and final evaluation	
40	Percent of households using a basic drinking water source	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions. For other projects, data will be collected but no targets required.	Baseline and final evaluation	
41	Percent of households using a basic sanitation facility	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions. For other projects, data will be collected but no targets required.	Baseline and final evaluation	
42	Percentage of households with soap and water at a handwashing station commonly used by family members	RiA	Applicable to all projects promoting behavior change communication related to WASH	Baseline and final evaluation	

No.	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable	Applicability	Frequency of collection?	Check All Applicable
43	Percent of households in target areas practicing correct use of recommended household water treatment technologies	RiA	Applicable for projects promoting behaviors related to water treatment	Baseline and final evaluation	
44	Percentage of households that can obtain drinking water in less than 30 minutes (round trip)	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions. For other projects, data will be collected but no targets required.	Baseline and final evaluation	
45	Percentage of population in target areas practicing open defecation	RiA	Applicable for projects promoting safe sanitation behaviors	Baseline and final evaluation	
55	Contraceptive Prevalence Rate (CPR) [modern methods only]	RiA	Applicable for any projects promoting birth spacing/ family planning	Baseline and final evaluation	
Intermediate Result 1.3: Natural Resource and Environmental Risk Management Capacities increased					
14.c	Percentage of farmers who used at least [a project-defined minimum number of] sustainable NRM practices and/or technologies in the past 12 months	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting sustainable agriculture practices and/or technologies	Baseline and final evaluation	
Intermediate Result 1.4: On and off-farm livelihood opportunities and incomes expanded					
14.a	Percentage of farmers who used at least [a project-defined minimum number of] sustainable crop practices and/or technologies in the past 12 months	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting sustainable agriculture practices and/or technologies	Baseline and final evaluation	
14.b	Percentage of farmers who used at least [a project-defined minimum number of] sustainable livestock practices and/or technologies in the past 12 months	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting sustainable agriculture practices and/or technologies	Baseline and final evaluation	
Strategic Objective 2: Communities and institutions transformed					
84	Transformative capacity index	RiA	Projects promoting interventions to strengthen capacities to make transformational change	Baseline and final evaluation	
Intermediate Results 2.1: Social protection systems strengthened					
Intermediate Result 2.2: Nutrition and health systems strengthened					
52	Percent of births receiving at least 4 antenatal care (ANC) visits during pregnancy	RiA	Applicable for projects implementing health, nutrition and/or family planning activities targeting women of reproductive health and/or children 6 months and under.	Baseline and final evaluation	
Intermediate Result 2.4: Agricultural, market and financial systems strengthened					

No.	Indicator Title	R: Required RiA: Required if applicable	Applicability	Frequency of collection?	Check All Applicable
17	Percentage of farmers who used improved storage practices in the past 12 months	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting improved storage practices	Baseline and final evaluation	
21	Percentage of farmers who used financial services (savings, agricultural credit, and/or agricultural insurance) in the past 12 months	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting increased use of financial services	Baseline and final evaluation	
22	Percentage of farmers who practiced the value chain activities promoted by the project in the past 12 months	RiA	Applicable for all projects promoting value chain activities for selected commodities	Baseline and final evaluation	
61	Percentage of men and women who earned cash in the past 12 months	RiA*	Applicable for projects promoting agriculture and/or livelihoods interventions	Baseline and final evaluation	
62	Percentage of men/women in union and earning cash who make decisions alone about the use of self-earned cash	RiA*	Applicable for projects promoting agriculture and/or livelihoods interventions	Baseline and final evaluation	
63	Percentage of men/women in union and earning cash who make decisions jointly with spouse/partner about the use of self-earned cash	RiA*	Applicable for projects promoting agriculture and/or livelihoods interventions	Baseline and final evaluation	
64	Percentage of men and women with children under two who have knowledge of maternal and child health and nutrition (MCHN) practices	RiA*	Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions	Baseline and final evaluation	
65	Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make maternal health and nutrition decisions alone	RiA*	Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions	Baseline and final evaluation	
66	Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make maternal health and nutrition decisions jointly with spouse/partner	RiA*	Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions	Baseline and final evaluation	
67	Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make child health and nutrition decisions alone	RiA*	Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions	Baseline and final evaluation	
68	Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make child health and nutrition decisions jointly with spouse/partner	RiA*	Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions	Baseline and final evaluation	