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Session Objectives

By the end of the session, participants will have:

- **Shared** their interest or experience collecting indicators
- **Become** familiar with current FFP indicators for baseline and final evaluation surveys
- **Identified** the FFP indicators applicable to their project

Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys

Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

February 2017

### Your Interest/Experience Collecting and Analyzing Data for Indicators

1. Household Food Access
2. Children's Nutritional Status and Feeding Practices
3. Women's Health and Nutrition
4. Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)
5. Agriculture
6. Poverty Measurement
7. Gender

---

### FFP Compiles Data Annually from Awardees Worldwide
What are the FFP indicators for baseline and final evaluation surveys, and why were they revised?
Overview: Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Baseline and Final Evaluation Surveys
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How are FFP indicators for baseline study and final evaluation surveys collected, and who collects them?

- FFP indicators for baseline and final evaluation surveys must be collected through population-based surveys.
- A third-party firm will conduct baseline surveys for current awards.
- PVOs will report FFP indicator data to FFP.
Activity 1: FFP Indicators (per category)

• Take 5 minutes to read the indicators by yourself.
• Then form groups of 3 or 4 people and take 8 additional minutes to identify the indicators that you are not familiar with and discuss what you think they are about.

Are All FFP Indicators Required?

Two categories:
• Required
• Required if Applicable (RiA)
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Activity 2: Identification of FFP Indicators Applicable to Your Project

- Form groups based on project team.
- Using the list of FFP indicators in the handout provided to you, reach a consensus with your team members on which FFP indicators are applicable for your project and place a check ✓ next to those indicators.
Resources

FFP Indicators Handbook (Part I):

FANTA Indicator Guides
- Household Dietary Diversity Score for Measurement of Household Food Access:
  http://www.fantaproject.org/monitoring-and-evaluation/household-dietary-diversity-score
- Household Hunger Scale: Indicator Definition and Measurement Guide:
  http://www.fantaproject.org/monitoring-and-evaluation/household-hunger-scale-hhs
- Anthropometric Indicators Measurement Guide:
  http://www.fantaproject.org/tools/anthropometry-guide

WHO Indicators for Assessing Infant and Young Child Feeding Practices, Parts I and II:
http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/infantfeeding/9789241596664/en/

Resources (cont.)


Demographic and Health Surveys Tabulation Plan for DHS Final Report:
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This presentation is made possible by the generous support of the American people through the support of the Office of Health, Infectious Diseases, and Nutrition, Bureau for Global Health; and the Office of Food for Peace, Bureau for Democracy, Conflict, and Humanitarian Assistance, United States Agency for International Development (USAID), under terms of Cooperative Agreement No. AID-OAA-A-12-00005, through the Food and Nutrition Technical Assistance III Project (FANTA), managed by FHI 360. The contents are the responsibility of FHI 360 and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.
Office of Food for Peace Strategic Results Framework

Corporate Objective 1: Leadership, Governance & Planning Strengthened

- Strategic Objective 1: Crisis & Involuntary Protracted Displacement
  - Cross Cutting M&I: Gender Equity & Youth Opportunities Increased

Corporate Objective 2: Efficient & Accountable Resource Management Enhanced

- Strategic Objective 2: Conflict & Natural Disaster Impacts
  - Cross Cutting M&I: Social Cohesion Enhanced

Corporate Objective 3: Monitoring, Evaluation & Analysis & Applied Learning Improved

- Strategic Objective 3: Natural Resource & Environmental Risk Management Systems Strengthened
  - Cross Cutting M&I: Social Accountability of Institutions Strengthened

Goal: Food & Nutrition Security of Vulnerable Populations Improved & Sustained
STRATEGIC RESULTS FRAMEWORK
GOAL AND SO LEVEL INDICATORS

Goal: Food and Nutrition Security of Vulnerable Populations Improved and Sustained

1. Prevalence of stunted children under five years
2. Depth of Poverty
3. Prevalence of wasted children under five years
4. Prevalence of moderate to severe food insecurity in the households
5. Prevalence of healthy weight among women of reproductive age

Strategic Objective 1: Lives and Livelihoods Protected and Enhanced
1. Absorptive capacity index
2. Adaptive capacity index
3. Food Consumption Score
4. Prevalence of underweight women

Strategic Objective 2: Communities and Institutions Transformed
1. Transformative Capacity Index

IR 1.1: Life-saving Food and Nutrition Needs Met

IR 1.2: Nutrition & WASH Practices Improved

IR 2.1: Social Protection Systems Strengthened
IR 2.2 Nutrition and Health Systems Strengthened

1. Number of children under five (0-59 months) reached with nutrition specific interventions through USG supported programs
2. Prevalence of women of reproductive age consuming a diet of minimum diversity
3. Prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding of children under 6 months of age
4. Prevalence of children 6-23 months receiving a minimum acceptable diet
5. % of households with soap and water at a handwashing station commonly used by family members
6. % of households using a basic sanitation facility

1. Contraceptive Prevalence Rate (modern methods)
2. # of communities verified as open defecation free as a result of USG assistance
3. # of people gaining access to a basic drinking water source
4. % of detected cases of acute malnutrition in children under 5 referred for appropriate treatment

*These are a sub-set of the FFP SRF indicators; e.g., Proposed new SRF indicators for IR2.1 and IR2.2 will be finalized/updated.
Strategic Results Framework
Second Level IR Indicators

IR 1.2: Natural Resource & Environmental Risk Management Capacities Improved
1. Percentage of farmers who used at least [a project-defined minimum number of] sustainable NRM practices and/or technologies in the past 12 months
2. % of hectares of land under improved technologies or management practices with USG assistance
3. % of people using climate information or implementing risk-reducing actions to improve resilience to climate change as supported by USG assistance

IR 1.4: On and Off-farm Opportunities and Incomes Expanded
1. Value of smallholder incremental sales as a result of USG assistance
2. Yield of targeted agricultural commodities among program participants with USG assistance

IR 2.3: Natural Resource and Environmental Risk Management Systems Strengthened
IR 2.4: Agricultural, Market and Financial Systems Strengthened
1. Value of smallholder incremental sales generated with USG assistance

These are a sub-set of the FFP SRF indicators; e.g., Proposed new SRF indicators for IR 2.3 and IR 2.4 will be finalized/updated.

Strategic Results Framework
Cross-Cutting IR Level Indicators

CC IR 1: Gender Equity & Youth Opportunities Increased
CC IR 2: Social Cohesion Enhanced
CC IR 3: Social Accountability of Institutions Strengthened

1. % of participants in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive income resources of females (assets, credit, income, employment) who are female
2. % of men and women who earned cash in the past 12 months
3. % of married and non-married who make decisions about the use of self-earned cash
   a) jointly with spouse/partner
   b) alone
4. % of married in union with child under 2 who make child health and nutrition decisions
   a) jointly with spouse/partner
   b) alone
5. % of married in union with child under 2 who make maternal health and nutrition decisions
   a) jointly with spouse/partner
   b) alone

These are a sub-set of the FFP SRF indicators; e.g., Proposed new SRF indicators for CCIR1, CCIR2, and CCIR3 will be finalized/updated.
To access the strategy online:
www.usaid.gov/ffpstrategy

For questions on the FFP Strategic Results Framework Indicators:

CONTACT:
arashid@usaid.gov Monitoring and Evaluation Team Lead
clough@usaid.gov Ethiopia/LAC/HQ
FFP M&E Team
# Activity 1/2. FFP Baseline and Final Evaluation Indicators

## Activity 1:
- Take 5 minutes to read the indicators by yourself.
- Then form groups of 3 or 4 people and take 8 additional minutes to identify the indicators that you are not familiar with and discuss what you think they are about.

## Activity 2:
- Form groups based on project team.
- Using the list of FFP indicators in the handout provided to you, reach a consensus with your team members on which FFP indicators are applicable for your project and place a check ✓ next to those indicators.
  - Required (R): Indicators classified as R are required for all development projects.
  - Required if Applicable (RiA): Indicators classified as RiA are required if applicable for all development projects that have relevant interventions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Indicator Title</th>
<th>R: Required</th>
<th>RiA: Required if applicable</th>
<th>Applicability</th>
<th>Frequency of collection?</th>
<th>Check All Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Prevalence of underweight children under five years of age</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>All projects</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Prevalence of Poverty: Percent of people living on less than $1.90/day</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>All projects</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>Depth of Poverty: The mean percent shortfall relative to the $1.90 poverty line</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>All projects</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>Prevalence of wasted children under five years of age</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>All projects</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Prevalence of stunted children under five years of age</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>All projects</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Prevalence of moderate or severe food insecurity in the households (FIES)*</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>All projects</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Prevalence of healthy weight among Women of Reproductive Age</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>All projects</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Daily per capita expenditures (as a proxy for income) in USG-assisted areas</td>
<td>Context</td>
<td></td>
<td>All projects</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Indicator Title</td>
<td>R: Required</td>
<td>RiA: Required if applicable</td>
<td>Applicability</td>
<td>Frequency of collection?</td>
<td>Check All Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Prevalence of underweight women</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>Projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Average Household Dietary Diversity Score (HDDS)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>All projects</td>
<td>baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Adaptive capacity index</td>
<td>RiA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Projects promoting interventions to strengthen capacities to better adapt to shocks</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Absorptive capacity index</td>
<td>RiA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Projects promoting interventions to strengthen absorptive capacities</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Prevalence of women of reproductive age who consume targeted nutrient-rich value chain commodities</td>
<td>RiA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Projects promoting consumption of nutrient-rich value chain commodities among women of reproductive age</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Prevalence of children 6-23 months who consume targeted nutrient-rich value chain commodities</td>
<td>RiA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Projects promoting consumption of nutrient-rich value chain commodities among children 6-23 months age</td>
<td>baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Intermediate Result 1.1: Life-saving food and nutrition needs met**

<p>| 4   | Prevalence of women of reproductive age consuming a diet of minimum diversity    | RiA         |                             | Applicable for all projects promoting increased dietary diversity among women | Baseline and final evaluation                                 |                    |
| 35  | Prevalence of children 6–23 months receiving a minimum acceptable diet (MAD)     | RiA         |                             | Applicable for all projects promoting feeding children minimum acceptable diet | Baseline and final evaluation                                 |                    |
| 37  | Prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding of children under six months of age         | RiA         |                             | Applicable for all projects promoting exclusive breastfeeding                  | Baseline and final evaluation                                 |                    |
| 38  | Percentage of children under age five who had diarrhea in the prior two weeks    | RiA         |                             | Applicable for all projects promoting behavior change communication related to WASH | Baseline and final evaluation                                 |                    |
| 39  | Percent of children under five years old with diarrhea treated with Oral Rehydration Therapy (ORT) | RiA         |                             | Applicable for all projects promoting ORT                                      | Baseline and final evaluation                                 |                    |
| 40  | Percent of households using a basic drinking water source                         | RiA         |                             | Applicable for all projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions. For other projects, data will be collected but no targets required. | Baseline and final evaluation                                 |                    |
| 41  | Percent of households using a basic sanitation facility                           | RiA         |                             | Applicable for all projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions. For other projects, data will be collected but no targets required. | Baseline and final evaluation                                 |                    |
| 42  | Percentage of households with soap and water at a handwashing station commonly used by family members | RiA         |                             | Applicable to all projects promoting behavior change communication related to WASH | Baseline and final evaluation                                 |                    |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Indicator Title</th>
<th>R/ Required RiA: Required if applicable</th>
<th>Applicability</th>
<th>Frequency of collection?</th>
<th>Check All Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Percent of households in target areas practicing correct use of recommended household water treatment technologies</td>
<td>RiA</td>
<td>Applicable for projects promoting behaviors related to water treatment</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Percentage of households that can obtain drinking water in less than 30 minutes (round trip)</td>
<td>RiA</td>
<td>Applicable for all projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions. For other projects, data will be collected but no targets required.</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Percentage of population in target areas practicing open defecation</td>
<td>RiA</td>
<td>Applicable for projects promoting safe sanitation behaviors</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Contraceptive Prevalence Rate (CPR) [modern methods only]</td>
<td>RiA</td>
<td>Applicable for any projects promoting birth spacing/ family planning</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Intermediate Result 1.3: Natural Resource and Environmental Risk Management Capacities increased**

14.c Percentage of farmers who used at least [a project-defined minimum number of] sustainable NRM practices and/or technologies in the past 12 months  
RiA Applicable for all projects promoting sustainable agriculture practices and/or technologies  
Baseline and final evaluation

**Intermediate Result 1.4: On and off-farm livelihood opportunities and incomes expanded**

14.a Percentage of farmers who used at least [a project-defined minimum number of] sustainable crop practices and/or technologies in the past 12 months  
RiA Applicable for all projects promoting sustainable agriculture practices and/or technologies  
Baseline and final evaluation

14.b Percentage of farmers who used at least [a project-defined minimum number of] sustainable livestock practices and/or technologies in the past 12 months  
RiA Applicable for all projects promoting sustainable agriculture practices and/or technologies  
Baseline and final evaluation

**Strategic Objective 2: Communities and institutions transformed**

84 Transformative capacity index  
RiA Projects promoting interventions to strengthen capacities to make transformational change  
Baseline and final evaluation

**Intermediate Results 2.1: Social protection systems strengthened**

**Intermediate Result 2.2: Nutrition and health systems strengthened**

52 Percent of births receiving at least 4 antenatal care (ANC) visits during pregnancy  
RiA Applicable for projects implementing health, nutrition and/or family planning activities targeting women of reproductive health and/or children 6 months and under.  
Baseline and final evaluation

**Intermediate Result 2.4: Agricultural, market and financial systems strengthened**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Indicator Title</th>
<th>R: Required if applicable</th>
<th>Applicability</th>
<th>Frequency of collection?</th>
<th>Check All Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Percentage of farmers who used improved storage practices in the past 12 months</td>
<td>RIA</td>
<td>Applicable for all projects promoting improved storage practices</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Percentage of farmers who used financial services (savings, agricultural credit, and/or agricultural insurance) in the past 12 months</td>
<td>RIA</td>
<td>Applicable for all projects promoting increased use of financial services</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Percentage of farmers who practiced the value chain activities promoted by the project in the past 12 months</td>
<td>RIA</td>
<td>Applicable for all projects promoting value chain activities for selected commodities</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Percentage of men and women who earned cash in the past 12 months</td>
<td>RiA*</td>
<td>Applicable for projects promoting agriculture and/or livelihoods interventions</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Percentage of men/women in union and earning cash who make decisions alone about the use of selfearned cash</td>
<td>RiA*</td>
<td>Applicable for projects promoting agriculture and/or livelihoods interventions</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Percentage of men/women in union and earning cash who make decisions jointly with spouse/partner about the use of selfearned cash</td>
<td>RiA*</td>
<td>Applicable for projects promoting agriculture and/or livelihoods interventions</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Percentage of men and women with children under two who have knowledge of maternal and child health and nutrition (MCHN) practices</td>
<td>RiA*</td>
<td>Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make maternal health and nutrition decisions alone</td>
<td>RiA*</td>
<td>Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make maternal health and nutrition decisions jointly with spouse/partner</td>
<td>RiA*</td>
<td>Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make child health and nutrition decisions alone</td>
<td>RiA*</td>
<td>Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make child health and nutrition decisions jointly with spouse/partner</td>
<td>RiA*</td>
<td>Applicable for all projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions</td>
<td>Baseline and final evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>